

Appln No.10/803,075  
Amdt. Dated October 12, 2005  
Response to Office Action of September 23, 2005

8

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicant thanks Examiner for the detailed Office Action dated September 23, 2005. In response to the issues raised, the Applicant offers the following submissions and amendments.

#### **Amendments**

The claims have been amended to clarify that the present invention is directed to a monitor for connection to a computer thereby distinguishing it from devices that have an inbuilt display screen. We submit that this is readily apparent from a fair reading of the specification as a whole, and therefore the ordinary worker would interpret the amended claims in this context. The amendments also address the objection relating to the antecedent error in claim 10. Applicant thanks the Examiner for highlighting these issues.

In light of this, the amendments do not introduce any new matter.

#### **35 U.S.C. §103 - Claims 2-7, 9, 11-18, 22, 24 - 27, 30, 31, 34 - 36, 38 and 39**

Claims 2-7, 9, 11-18, 22, 24 - 27, 30, 31, 34 - 36, 38 and 39 stand rejected as obvious in light of the disclosure in US 6,120,127 to Inoue et al in light of US 6,356,901 to MacLeod et al.

Independent claim 39 has been cancelled in favor of newly presented claim 40. Claim 40 is directed to a monitor for connection to an external computer. The term 'monitor' is very well understood in this field of technology as a separate device as opposed to a computer with an inbuilt screen. The combination of features defined in new claim 40 provides a flat panel monitor a total footprint that is only marginally larger than that of a standard flat monitor. However the invention incorporates a pagewidth printhead for high quality prints without jarring the display and a data connection hub for copying data from the computer to other storage devices.

The dependant claims have been likewise amended to correspond to new claim 40.

In light of the above, the combined disclosures of the cited documents fail to teach all the elements of new claim 40. Accordingly the citations fail to support a §103 rejection of claim 40 or any of the dependant claims.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Appln No.10/803,075  
Amdt. Dated October 12, 2005  
Response to Office Action of September 23, 2005

9

**Conclusion**

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance.  
Accordingly, favorable reconsideration is courteously solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)  
Telephone: +612 9818 6633  
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**